Hydraulic Assessment & Design Standards - Section 50 Watercour Item Description	se Crossings (Applicability	Ref. OPW, 2019) Comment
General Hydraulic Design Standards A bridge or culvert must be capable of passing a fluvial flood flow with a 1%		Design will allow for 1 in 100 1% AEP storm
annual exceedance probability (AEP) or 1 in 100 year flow without significantly changing the hydraulic characteristics of the watercourse.	Applicable	event, plus 20% allowing for climate change.
n addition to the above fluvial flood flow standard, if a bridge or culvert is ocated within a tidal zone, it must cater for a tide level with a 0.5 % (AEP) or 1 n 200 year flow without significantly changing the hydraulic characteristics of he watercourse.	Not Applicable	Site is not within a tidal flood zone
A bridge must be capable of operating under the above design conditions while maintaining a freeboard of at least 300 mm.	Applicable	Design will allow for 1 in 100 1% AEP storm event, plus 20% allowing for climate change and at least 300mm of freeboard.
If the land potentially affected does not include dwellings and infrastructure, a culvert must be capable of operating under the above design conditions while causing a hydraulic loss of no more than 300 mm (excluding the culvert gradient).	Not Applicable	Infrastucture on site
If the land potentially affected includes dwellings and infrastructure, it must be demonstrated that those dwellings and/or infrastructure are not adversely affected by constructing the bridge or culvert.	Applicable	Infrasturcture on site and dwelling in close proximity
A culvert diameter, height and width must not be less than 900 mm to facilitate maintenance access and reduce the likelihood of debris blockage.	Applicable	Design will allow for height and width of 900mr minium .
Hydrological Considerations for Analysis The hydrological analysis submitted in support of an application should be	If the level of a	isk or uncertainty warrants, a HIGHER design
representative of the rainfall and flood flows that can be expected at the site of the proposed bridge or culvert. It should therefore:	standard may be considered by t	e required. A LOWER design standard may be he OPW if there is a sufficiently low risk. In suc quate justification must be provided with the
Define the hydrological characteristics of the watercourse catchment upstream of the location of the proposed bridge or culvert.	Applicable	application. This is completed as part of SSFRA Stage 1 a 2.
Utilise all appropriate and available rainfall and hydrometric data.	Applicable	This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stage 3 Advanced Assessment & Modelling.
Where appropriate, use a range of techniques to estimate the design peak flood flow.	Applicable	SFRA Stage 3
Itood Itow. Incorporate any expected change in the catchment's hydrological characteristics due to "climate change". Hydraulic Considerations for Analysis	Applicable	SFRA Stage 3
The hydraulic contained and the first submitted in support of an application should be representative of		l ation required to review your application is not
representative of the bridge or culvert that will be constructed. It should therefore take into account:	the receipt of change to the	OPW will place your application on hold pendin of outstanding or additional information. I Any a hydraulic designof the bridge or culvert made of consent from the OPW under Section 50 will invalidate that consent.
All losses associated with the bridge or culvert (e.g. entrance, exit, friction and pier losses).	Applicable	This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stag 3 Advanced Assessment & Modelling.
Any ancillary works that may affect the hydraulic performance of the bridge or	Applicable	This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stag 3 Advanced Assessment & Modelling.
culvert (e.g. erosion control works and debris screens) The effect of the downstream water level on the hydraulic performance of the	Applicable	This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stag
bridge or culvert, including tides. The hydraulic implications of any environmental measures incorporated into th bridge or culvert design (e.g. depression of the invert or the installation of baffles).	Applicable	3 Advanced Assessment & Modelling. This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stag 3 Advanced Assessment & Modelling.
Level of Technical Anaylsis		
The level of technical analysis that may be required in support of an application.	Applicable	Refer to Table 8 of the SSFRA
Some features of Hydraulically Efficient Bridge and Culvert		
 The use of piers is minimised. This helps to retain the existing channel Cross section, velocities and turbulence. It also reduces The likelihood of debris blockage. The bridge is designed to operate with a freeboard between the flood level and the bridge deck. This reduces the likelihood of debris 	This will be considered in Stage 3 of the SSFRA	
blockage and also allows for uncertainties in hydrological and hydraulic design calculations.		¥4
 The encroachment of the bridge abutments into the channel is minimised. This helps to retain the existing channel cross section, velocities and turbulence. Any existing overland flow paths are either retained or replaced. This reduces the 		*2 *1
likelihood of the blockage and diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. 5. The bridge abutments and any piers are parallel with the existing direction of flow. 6. The restriction		
of the existing channel is minimised through the use of the largest practical culvert size. This helps to retain the existing channel cross section, velocities and turbulence. 7. The number of barrels is minimised. This reduces the likelihood of debris blockage		×9 ×10
8. The culvert invert is set below the bed level of the existing channel. This reduces the likelihood of scour under the culvert barrel. 9. Any existing overland flow paths are either retained or replaced. This reduces the likelihood		-6
of the blockage and diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. 10. The culvert is designed to operate without a reliance on excessive head loss across the structure. This		-78 -78
reduces the likelihood of high velocities and turbulence in the culvert and channel.		
Some features of Hydraulically Inefficient Bridge and Culvert		
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and	This will be	considered in Stage 3 of the SSFRA
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any		considered in Stage 3 of the SSFRA
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and urbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach intothe existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and	This will be	Considered in Stage 3 of the SSFRA
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected partsof the floodplain.	×B	
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected partsof the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This	×B	
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected partsof the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This ncreases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and urbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases	×B	
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and urbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and urbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected partsof the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This ncreases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and also increases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases helikelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure.	⇒ B ⇒ A	×C ×D
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This norceases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreasesthe likelihood of debris blockage. H. The culvert diameter is and anyalso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvert invertis set above the design flow with a significant head loss across the	⇒ B ⇒ A	×C ×D
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This increases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvertis onlyabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel.	→ B → A × K	×C ×D
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B . There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C . The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D . Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. E . The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F . The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This increases the likelihood of debris blockage. G . The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. H . The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J . Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K . The culvertis onlyabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel. Information checklist Section 50 Application	→ B → A × K	×C ×D
overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected partsof the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative tothe existing channelcross section. This increases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvertis onlyabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel. Information checklist Section 50 Application Completed application form. Scaled and annotated location plan (including accurate geographic	×B ×A ×K F G H Applicable	Provided on www.assets.gov.ie This is completed as part of SSFRA Stag
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channel. This increases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvert is onlyabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel. Information checklist Section 50 Application Completed application form. Scaled and annotated location	×K ×K F G_H	Provided on www.assets.gov.ie This is completed as part of SSFRA Stag 1 & 2.
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This increases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvent capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed level of the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culverti solvabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel. Information checklist Section 50 Application Completed application form. Scaled and annotated location plan (including accurate geographic position). Scaled plan(s) and cross section(s) of all works associated with the bridge or cul	×B ×A ×K F G H Applicable	Provided on www.assets.gov.ie This is completed as part of SSFRA Stage 1 & 2. This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stage 2 Advanced Assessment &
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected parts of the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channel cross section. This increases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayatso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayatso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of scour under the culvertbarreland subsequent failure. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culvert is onlyabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel. Information checklist Section 50 Application Completed application form. Scaled an	×K ×F K F K Applicable Applicable	Provided on www.assets.gov.ie This is completed as part of SSFRA Stag 1 & 2. This will be completed as part of SSFRA
existing channel Cross section and as a result may increase in-channel velocities and turbulence. It may also increase the likelihoodof debris blockage. B. There is inadequate freeboard between the design flood leveland thebridge deck. This increases the likelihood of floating debris blockageand does not allow for any uncertainties in the hydrological and hydraulic design calculations. C. The bridge abutments encroach into the existing channel. This reduces the existing channel cross section and as a result may increase in-channelvelocities and turbulence. D. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This maycause the diversion of floodwaters onto otherwise unaffected partsof the floodplain. E. The bridge abutments and anypiers are notaligned parallel to the existing direction of flow. This is likely to decrease the hydraulic performance of the bridge F. The culvert diameter is smallrelative to the existing channelcross section. This increases the upstreamwater level, increases velocities and turbulence, and alsoincreases the likelihood of debris blockage. G. The required culvert capacity is achieved by using an excessive number of Barrels. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. H. The culvert invertis set above the bed levelof the existing channel. This increases the likelihood of blockage and mayalso increase maintenance requirements. J. Existing overland flow paths are blocked. This may cause the diversion of flood waters onto otherwiseunaffected parts of the floodplain. K. The culverti solupabletopass the design flow with a significant head loss across the structure. This may result in increased upstream water levels, high velocities and turbulence that may damage the structure and channel. Information checklist Section 50 Application Completed application form. Scaled and annotated location plan (including accurate geographic position). Scaled plan(s) and cross section(s) of all works associated with the bridge or culvert (including the earthworks necessary	×K ×K ×F Applicable Applicable	Provided on www.assets.gov.ie This is completed as part of SSFRA Stag 1 & 2. This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stag 1 & 2. This will be completed as part of SSFRA Stag Modelling.

PHCHINED. 20103 ROLA